

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 RICHARD H. BEDFORD, No. C 06-04299 WHA
11 Plaintiff,
12 v. **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**
13 OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES
14 TRUSTEE, *ET AL.*,
15 Defendants.
16 _____ /

17 On October 27, 2006, the Court ordered plaintiff Richard H. Bedford to show cause why
18 his claims should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
19 Procedure 41(b). The order directed plaintiff to respond by November 3, 2006.

20 More than three months have passed since that order and plaintiff has failed to comply.
21 Accordingly, plaintiff's case is **DISMISSED**. The clerk **SHALL CLOSE THE FILE**.

22
23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Dated: February 2, 2007

25 
26 WILLIAM ALSUP
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28